Impact Measures	Evidence	2012-2018				
		N	Qualifying Score	Mean for all	Pass Rate	
				scores		
Measure 1:	ACT Score – minimum score of 23 or	17	23	25.88	29%	
P-12 student learning and	take ACT-CAAP					
development	SAT with Writing - minimum score of	6	1590	1440	50%	
	1590 or take ACT-CAAP					
	ACT-CAAP Reading	13	57	60.23	100%	
	ACT-CAAP Writing	13	2.5	4.06	100%	
ACT-CAAP Math		12	55	56.00	67%	
	Cum General Education GPA at	9	3.0/4.0	3.27	100%	
	Graduation					
	KPTP Portfolio	9	20/30	24.72	100%	
	KEEP – Full	8	2/3	2.64	100%	
	Classroom Observation Feedback Form	6	30/45	41.33	100%	
	Dispositions Pre-Student Teaching	9	24/36	32.22	100%	
	Dispositions Post-Student Teaching	9	24/36	34.38	100%	
	Praxis Exam - PLT	7	160	175	86%	
	Praxis Exam – Elementary Education	6	153	173.5	100%	

# CAEP's 8 Impact Measures 2017-2018

N = all pre-candidates and candidates who have taken the assessment

**Analysis of Measure 1:** Based on ACT/CAAP data, pre-candidates enter Transition I with 50-56% taking the ACT-CAAP, which measures content knowledge. The Cum Gen Ed GPA at graduation shows 100% of candidates passing the measure in content knowledge having a mean of 3.26/4.0. The KPTP portfolio, KEEP protocol and Classroom Observation Feedback Form show candidates working content knowledge with P-12 students well above the qualifying score at a pass rate of 100%. Dispositions show candidate work ethic and knowledge well above the qualifying score with pre-assessments at 32.75 and post-assessments increasing 2.08 points, with 100% passing. The Praxis Exams, PLT and Elementary Education show strong student learning and development with a mean of 177 on PLT and 174.3 on El Ed. **Trends:** Fifty percent of pre-candidates enter Transition I with an adequate foundation of content knowledge; 96% of candidates exit Student Teaching well above the qualifying scores on assessments.

**Changes in curriculum and experiences for EPP preparation:** Stronger foundational math base for candidate content knowledge; Alignment of evaluation tools for KEEP and Classroom Observation Feedback Form; Interjection of vocabulary and concepts related to InTASC standards.

**Resource Allocations:** Grant for internships in STEM, Math, and Diagnostic Reading for candidates working directly with K-8 student populations in these areas.

**Future Directions:** Addition of STEM internship with Science Methods course for application and pedagogy development with K-8 students.

Measure 2:	Spring 2016-2018					
Observations of teaching	Evidence	N	Qualifying	Mean for all	Passing Rate	
effectiveness			Score	Scores		
	KEEP Instructional Practices	8	2/3	2.56	100%	
	Protocol – University					
	Supervisor Evaluations					
	KPTP University Supervisor	7	64 possible	50.71	N/A	
	Evaluations					
	KPTP Building Leader	8	64 possible	46.31	N/A	
	Evaluations		-			
	Classroom Observation	6	30/45	41.33	100%	
	Feedback Form – University					
	Supervisor Evaluations					

N= all pre-candidates and candidates who have taken the assessment

**Analysis of Measure 2**: KEEP protocol evaluation: Three Building Leaders scored candidates at a mean of 44.75, 2.25 points lower than the University Supervisor's mean score of 47.0 out of a possible 64 points possible on the rubric. One building leader's score was higher than the other two who had similar scores for candidate's average with a range of scores from 42-54. The same University Supervisor scored 6/7 of the candidates with a range of scores from 41-50. KPTP resulted in an overall gain in each area was made from 2016 – 17 to S 18. Only one candidate had one score of a "1" by one score on the assessment in the area of Task 3:E; all other scores were at or above the recommended score of 2.0, developing. Candidates had a mean score of 24.72 on the full KPTP, with a range of scores from 21.5-28. 100% of candidates have passed the KPTP. Candidates have increased 6.5 points from Spring 16 to Spring 18 The Classroom Observation Feedback form data showed candidates scored extremely strong on this form by the University Supervisor with 6/6 ratings in Constructs 2 & 4 and 14.5/15 on Constructs 1 & 3.

**Trends**: Increase in scores and ratings from Spring 16 to Spring 18 on all evaluation tools for observations of teaching effectiveness. **Changes in curriculum and experiences for EPP preparation**: The evaluation of the KPTP data determined that required components should be integrated into multiple Education Courses; The recommendation for the InTASC standards' vocabulary and concepts to be embedded into the Education courses for deeper understanding of measurements on the KEEP. The Transition levels have been modified to include the KEEP self-evaluation at entrance into Transitions II, III, and I. The Classroom Observation Feedback Form was aligned to the KEEP protocol and rating scale.

### **Resource Allocations:** N/A

**Future Directions:** Continue to monitor and pilot the evaluation tools and the placement of required components into coursework. These evaluation tools will be implemented into the completer's first, second, and third year of full-time teaching to have a consistent baseline of data from Transition I through the 3<sup>rd</sup> year of full-time teaching

Analysis of Measure 3:	the 3 <sup>rd</sup> year of full-time teaching. Evidence	2018				
Employer satisfaction and		N Strengths		Challenges		
completer persistence	KSDE Perception Surveys – Completer 1 <sup>st</sup> year	N=4 Mean = 48.25/68	Instructional Practice 3.4: Differentiate instruction for all learners; Instructional Practice 3.5: Use of technology in the classroom appropriately to support instruction	Professional Responsibility 4.2: Evaluate outcomes of teaching using a variety of data to adapt planning and practice		
	KSDE Perception Surveys - Building Leader	N=2 Mean = 44.5/68	Instructional Practice 3.5: Use of technology in the classroom appropriately to support instruction	Instructional Practice 3.4: Differentiate instruction with ELL and Special Needs; Professional Responsibility 4.2: Evaluate outcomes of teaching using a variety of data to adapt planning and practice		
	KEEP Instructional Practices Protocol – Completer 1 <sup>st</sup> year	N=4 Mean = 63/96	Construct 3: Instructional Practice – 3.3 Instructional Strategies; Construct 4 Professional Responsibility – 4.1 Reflection and continuous growth	Construct 3: Instructional Practice – 3.1 Planning for instruction		
	KEEP Instructional Practices Protocol – Building Leaders 1 <sup>st</sup> Year	N=2 Mean = 55/96	Construct 1: Learner & Learning – 1.1 Learner Development; Construct 2: Content Knowledge – 2.2 Innovative applications of content knowledge; Construct 4 Professional Responsibility – 4.1 Reflection and continuous growth	Construct 3: Instructional Practice – 3.1 Planning for instruction; Construct 4: Professional Responsibility – 4.2 Collaboration and leadership		
	KEEP Instructional Practices Protocol – Completer 3rd year	First availability Spring 2020				
	KEEP Instructional Practices Protocol – Building Leader 3rd year	First availability Spring 2020				

N= all completers or building leaders who have taken the assessment

**Analysis of Measure 3**: First cohort of completers graduated in May 2017; Two out of four completers are teaching in the area prepared for K-6, one is teaching special education to high schoolers in a day school; one is teaching Language arts in a middle school. Both building leaders and completers chose similar strengths in Construct 4 Professional Responsibility – 4.1 Reflection and continuous growth and both named challenges in Construct 3: Instructional Practice – 3.1 Planning for instruction.

#### Trends: N/A

Changes in curriculum and experiences for EPP preparation: Increase the amount of instructional strategies from data and for students with disabilities and ELL learners.

### Resource Allocations: $N\!/\!A$

Future Directions: Monitor, record, and analyze data of completers who are full-time licensed teachers in the classroom.

Analysis of Measure 4:	Evidence	2018			
Completer satisfaction		Ν	Strengths	Challenges	
	KSDE Perception Surveys -	N=4	Instructional	Professional Responsibility 4.2:	
	Completer	Mean = 48.25/68	Practice 3.4: Differentiate instruction for all	Evaluate outcomes of teaching using a variety of data to adapt planning and practice	
			learners;	•	

Instructional Practice 3.5: Use
of technology in
the classroom
appropriately to
support instruction

N= all completers who have taken the assessment

Analysis of Measure 4: First cohort of completers graduated in May 2017; Two out of four completers are teaching in the area prepared for K-6, one is teaching special education to high schoolers in a day school; one is teaching Language arts in a middle school. Completers rated strengths in Construct 4 Professional Responsibility -4.1 Reflection and continuous growth and named challenges in Construct 3: Instructional Practice -3.1 Planning for instruction.

Trends: N/A

Changes in curriculum and experiences for EPP preparation: Increase the amount of instructional strategies from data to adapt planning and practice.

**Resource Allocations:** N/A

Future Directions: Monitor, record, and analyze data of completers who are full-time licensed teachers in the classroom.

Measure 5:	Evidence	2012-2018							
Completer or	Database	N	N		N	N	N	N	N
graduation rate		Pre-candidates	Transit	ion I:	Transition II	Transition	Transition	Transition	Candidates
			Admiss	ion to	Admission to	III: Exit	IV:	V:	who did not
			Teac	her	Student	Student	Completer	Optional	complete
			Educa	tion	Teaching	Teaching		State	program
								Licensure	
		29	17		10	9	9	6	2
N= all pre-candidates and candidates who been enrolled in Introduction to Christian Education as a pre-candidate and the number of candidates admitted to each Transition Level									
Analysis of Measure 5	: 43% of pre-candi	dates who enroll	in Introducti	on to Chris	stian Educatio	n actually apply	for Transition I: A	Admission to T	eacher
Education. 92% of cand									
licensure within 4 mont			r	F Ø-				,	
Trends: Pre-requisite c		of selectivity char	acteristics of	pre-candi	dates in Teach	er Education.			
Changes in curriculun				1					
Resource Allocations:									
Future Directions: Con	ntinue to assist can	didates in making	g career choid	es during	prerequisite c	ourses as those v	ho are admitted t	o Transition I	are committed
to follow through with t			-	C C					
Measure 6:	Evidence			2017-2018					
Licensure rate for	Database			Ν	Initi	al License:	Endorsemen	ts:	Pass Rate
completers who	KSDE Applications			5	K-6	Elem. Ed.			100%
applied			1	One Y	ear License			100%	
				1			ESOL		100%
							Middle Leve	el	
							Language An	rts	
				9		Meet			100%
					requi	rements for			
						ACSI			
					cer	tification			
N= all pre-candidates and candidates who have taken the assessment									
Analysis of Measure 6: 100% of completers at Transition V are licensed in one or more areas. One candidate is preparing to complete the Praxis Exams, so									
he/she received a one year license. Three candidates have received initial Kansas licenses; two of the three have additional endorsements on their license.									
Trends: First completers graduated in May 2017, no trends can be established at this time									
Changes in curriculum and experiences for EPP preparation: Addition of Praxis reviews in Education courses									
Resource Allocations: Praxis Exam Preparation Materials									
Future Directions: Off		gram endorseme	nt				10		
Measure 7:	Evidence					2017-20			
Employment rate for	Database		Ν	Publi		Missions		Employment	Rate
completers				Schoo	ols Schools	Internation	al		
		_	0			School		1000	
			9	7	1	2		100%	

# **CAEP's 8 Outcomes Measures**

N= all pre-candidates and candidates who have taken the assessment Analysis of Measure 7: 100% of completers at Transition levels 4 & 5 are employed in the field of education. Completers in Transition IV are employed as a Para educator in a public school, preschool teacher in a private Christian School, and two are serving in teaching positions internationally. Completers in Transition V: Licensed Teachers have found employment in urban and rural public schools. Trends: First completers graduated in May 2017, no trends can be established at this time Changes in curriculum and experiences for EPP preparation: Continue to encourage candidates to take Praxis Exams for licensure during senior year;							
continue ePortfolio and application materials in Capstone course							
Resource Allocations: None							
Future Directions: Continue to offer both state licensure and ACSI certification							
Measure 8: Source: 2017-2018							
Consumer N Default Rate Median	Average Starting Salary in						
information Graduate D incurred							
Student Load Default Rate 9 0% \$19045	\$33386						
How To Become A Teacher* Expense Score Acceptance Rate Graduation							
www.tobecomeateacher.org							
4/26/16	Value Score						
9/14/17 6.2 9.1 9.14	8.9 7						
6/22/18 Total expected 52.85% 57.41%	11:1						
expense for a							
Rated #1 in 2016, 2017, 2018 bachelor's degree							
\$56360							
http://tobecomeateacher.org/how-to-become-a-teacher-in-kansas/							
Analysis of Measure 8: Barclay College has ranked #1 for 3 years in a row on <u>http://tobecomeateacher.org/how-to-become-a-teacher-in-kansas/</u> . This							
organization measured data on total expense, student-to-faculty ratios, graduation rates, potential earnings, and return on investment from collegescorecard.gov,							
	investment from collegescorecard.gov,						
IPEDS, and the Carnegie Foundation data sets. Barclay College offers a tuition free scholarship for bachelor degrees who	investment from collegescorecard.gov, n the candidate lives on campus; this						
IPEDS, and the Carnegie Foundation data sets. Barclay College offers a tuition free scholarship for bachelor degrees who scholarship is set up and paid for by donors to allow candidates to graduate with less student loan debt, therefore a lower	investment from collegescorecard.gov, n the candidate lives on campus; this						
IPEDS, and the Carnegie Foundation data sets. Barclay College offers a tuition free scholarship for bachelor degrees whe scholarship is set up and paid for by donors to allow candidates to graduate with less student loan debt, therefore a lower Barclay College also offers on campus work-study positions for candidates who are eligible for Federal Work Study.	investment from collegescorecard.gov, n the candidate lives on campus; this						
IPEDS, and the Carnegie Foundation data sets. Barclay College offers a tuition free scholarship for bachelor degrees who scholarship is set up and paid for by donors to allow candidates to graduate with less student loan debt, therefore a lower	investment from collegescorecard.gov, n the candidate lives on campus; this						